







November 13, 2009

Gaussian States and Quantum Imaging

Jeffrey H. Shapiro and Franco N. C. Wong

Research sponsored by the U.S. Army Research Office, the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, and the W. M. Keck Foundation Center for Extreme Quantum Information theory

Optical and Quantum Communications Group

RESEARCH LABORATORY OF ELECTRONICS Massachusetts Institute of Technology

www.rle.mit.edu/qoptics

Gaussian States and Quantum Imaging

- Gaussian states
 - classical versus quantum
- Optical coherence tomography (OCT)
 - conventional versus quantum versus phase-conjugate OCT
- Ghost imaging
 - signal-to-noise ratio and image-acquisition time
- Nonlocal dispersion cancellation
 - biphoton versus mixed-state entangled versus classically correlated
- Sub-Rayleigh imaging
 - improving spatial resolution with classical-state light





- Gaussian states include...
 - Iaser light, LED light, sunlight, i.e., "classical states"
 - Iow-flux biphoton output from SPDC, viz., a "quantum" state
- Gaussian states are...
 - characterized by their mean values and coherence functions
 - closed under linear transformations like free-space diffraction

Properties of Phase-Insensitive Coherence

Phase-insensitive coherence at source

$$\langle \hat{E}_0^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_1, t_1) \hat{E}_0(\boldsymbol{\rho}_2, t_2) \rangle = \frac{2P}{\pi a_0^2} e^{-(|\boldsymbol{\rho}_1|^2 + |\boldsymbol{\rho}_2|^2)/a_0^2 - |\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 - \boldsymbol{\rho}_2|^2/2\rho_0^2} e^{-(t_1 - t_2)^2/2T_0^2}$$

 spatial coherence is quasiplanatic



 temporal coherence is monochromatic



 produces second-order interference

Properties of Phase-Sensitive Coherence

Phase-sensitive coherence at source

$$\langle \hat{E}_0(\boldsymbol{\rho}_1, t_1) \hat{E}_0(\boldsymbol{\rho}_2, t_2) \rangle = \frac{2P}{\pi a_0^2} e^{-(|\boldsymbol{\rho}_1|^2 + |\boldsymbol{\rho}_2|^2)/a_0^2 - |\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 - \boldsymbol{\rho}_2|^2/2\rho_0^2} e^{-(t_1 - t_2)^2/2T_0^2}$$

 spatial coherence is quasibiplanatic



 temporal coherence is bichromatic



 does *not* produce second-order interference

Erkmen & Shapiro, Proc SPIE <u>6305</u>, 6305G (2006)

Optical Coherence Tomography



Mean Signatures from a Single Mirror

- Gaussian source power spectrum, $S(\Omega) = P_S \sqrt{2\pi/\Omega_S^2} e^{-\Omega^2/2\Omega_S^2}$
- Broadband conjugator, $V(\Omega) \approx V = |V|e^{i\theta_V}$
- Weakly reflecting mirror, $H(\Omega) = re^{i[(\omega_0 + \Omega)T_0 + b\Omega^2/2]}$, $|r| \ll 1$.



Phase-Conjugate OCT Experiment





Optical Coherence Tomography Discussion

- Improvements in Q-OCT and PC-OCT are due to phasesensitive coherence between signal and reference beams
- Entanglement not the key property yielding the benefits
- Q-OCT: $H^*(-\Omega)H(\Omega)$ obtained from an actual sample illumination and a virtual sample illumination
- PC-OCT: $H^*(-\Omega)H(\Omega)$ obtained via two sample illuminations
- Double-pass conventional illumination can achieve the 2x improvement in axial resolution but at the expense of 2x resolution loss due to dispersion
- PC-OCT combines Q-OCT's resolution and dispersion cancellation with C-OCT's high SNR

Four Types of Active Ghost Imaging

Biphoton ghost imaging pinhole detector, center ρ_1 rotating pinhole detector, center ρ_1 (scanning) ground PBS (scanning) glass $\hat{E}_S(oldsymbol{ ho},t)$ $\hat{E}_1(oldsymbol{ ho},t)$ $E(\boldsymbol{\rho},t)$ $E_S(\boldsymbol{\rho},t)$ $E_1(\boldsymbol{\rho},t)$ SPDC cw laser $i_1(t)$ $i_1(t)$ $\hat{E}_R(\boldsymbol{\rho},t)$ $E_R(\boldsymbol{\rho},t)$ $C(\boldsymbol{\rho}_1)$ *L*-m free space Scarcelli et al., PRL L-m free space $C(\boldsymbol{\rho}_1)$ propagation correlato 77, 063602 (2006) correlator propagation $\hat{E}_2(oldsymbol{ ho},t)$ object, $T(\boldsymbol{\rho})$ object, $T(\boldsymbol{\rho})$ $E_2(\boldsymbol{\rho}, t)$ Pittman et al., PRA bucket detector (fixed) bucket detector (fixed) 52, R3429 (1995) $i_2(t)$ $i_2(t)$

Spatial light modulator ghost imaging



Computational ghost imaging



Erkmen & Shapiro, PRA 77, 043809 (2008); Erkmen & Shapiro, PRA 79, 023833 (2009); Shapiro, PRA 78, 061802(R) (2008).

www.rle.mit.edu/goptics

Pseudothermal ghost imaging

Gaussian-State Image Resolution and Contrast

- Far-field operation: biphoton $k_0 a_0^2/2L \ll 1$, pseudothermal $k_0 a_0 \rho_0/2L \ll 1$
- Object within field of view:

biphoton $\sqrt{2}\lambda_0 L/\pi\rho_0$, pseudothermal $\lambda_0 L/\pi\rho_0$

Photocurrent cross-correlation functions

$$\langle C(\boldsymbol{\rho}_1) \rangle = q^2 \eta_1 \eta_2 A_1 \left(\frac{2P}{\pi a_L^2}\right)^2 \left[\int_{\mathcal{A}_2} d\boldsymbol{\rho} |T(\boldsymbol{\rho})|^2 \right]$$

+
$$\mathcal{C}_{\pm} \int_{\mathcal{A}_2} d\boldsymbol{\rho} \, e^{-|\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \pm \boldsymbol{\rho}|^2 / \rho_L^2} |T(\boldsymbol{\rho})|^2 \bigg]$$

ghost image

coherence radius $\rho_L = \lambda_0 L / \pi a_0$ biphoton $\mathcal{C}_+ \gg 1$, pseudothermal $\mathcal{C}_- = 1$

Erkmen & Shapiro, PRA 77, 043809 (2008)

background



Signal-to-Noise Ratio Analysis

- Time average used to approximate ensemble average
- Source coherence time: T_0
- Photodetector bandwidth: Ω_B
- Cross-correlation integration time: T_I
- Broadband biphoton imaging: $\Omega_B T_0 \ll 1, \Omega_B T_I \gg 1$
- Narrowband pseudothermal imaging: $\Omega_B T_0 \gg 1, T_0 \ll T_I$
- SNR analysis done via Gaussian moment factoring

Signal-to-Noise Ratio Behavior



Far-Field Propagation Image-Acquisition Times

- Broadband biphoton source
 - $T_I^{(q)}$ = time to achieve target SNR value
- Narrowband, high-brightness, pseudothermal source
 - $T_I^{(c)}$ = time to achieve target SNR value
- For the same target SNR values

Ghost Imaging Discussion

- Gaussian-state framework unifies ghost-imaging analyses
- Far-field resolution behavior is identical for biphoton and pseudothermal sources
- Biphoton source enjoys slight field-of-view advantage over pseudothermal source
- Biphoton source enjoys significant contrast advantage over pseudothermal source
- Broadband biphoton source may have significant imageacquisition time advantage over narrowband, bright, pseudothermal source



Nonlocal Dispersion Cancellation

 Biphoton illumination enjoys cancellation of group-velocity dispersion with opposite signs in nonlocal manner



 Classical-state light — even classical phase-sensitive light — <u>cannot</u> reproduce this phenomenon

> Franson, arXiv:0907.5196 [quant-ph]; Franson, arXiv:0909.2846 [quant-ph]





 Coincidence peak has same width but background increases as state progresses from pure-entangled to mixed-entangled to classical-maximally-correlated to classical-partiallycorrelated

www.rle.mit.edu/goptics

Ladar Imaging: Monostatic Operation

- Diffraction-limited transmitter: power P_T , diameter D_T , wavelength λ
- Diffraction-limited receiver: diameter D_R
- Speckle-averaged focal-plane intensity

Target intensity-reflectivity

$$I(\boldsymbol{\theta}'f) = \frac{4P_T}{\pi D_T^2} (\mathcal{F}_T \mathcal{F}_R)^2 \int d\boldsymbol{\theta} \left[p_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\theta}L) \right] p_R(\boldsymbol{\theta}' - \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Fresnel-number product

Transmitter antenna-pattern Receiver antennapattern

$$L = \text{target range}, f = \text{receiver focal length}$$
$$p_x(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \left(\frac{2J_1(\pi D_x |\boldsymbol{\theta}|/\lambda)}{\pi D_x |\boldsymbol{\theta}|/\lambda}\right)^2 = \text{point-spread function}$$



Floodlight Illumination with Array Photodetection

When entire target is illuminated

$$I(\boldsymbol{\theta}'f) \approx \frac{4P_T}{\pi D_T^2} (\mathcal{F}_T \mathcal{F}_R)^2 \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} \, \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\theta} L) p_R(\boldsymbol{\theta}' - \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Resolution is the receiver's Rayleigh limit

 $|\Delta \boldsymbol{\theta}| L = 1.22 \lambda L / D_R$ at first zero of $p_R(\Delta \boldsymbol{\theta})$

when not limited by the photodetection array

Pinpoint Illumination with Precision Raster Scan

• When we illuminate a sequence of angles $\{\theta_i\}$ in a precision raster scan, the received power is

$$P_R = \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta}' f^2 I(\boldsymbol{\theta}' f) = \frac{4P_T}{\pi D_T^2} \mathcal{F}_T^2 \frac{\pi D_R^2}{4} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} \, p_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i - \boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\theta}L)$$

for illumination at $\boldsymbol{\theta}_i$

• If $D_T \gg D_R$ we resolve the target at the transmitter's Rayleigh limit

 $|\Delta \theta| L = 1.22 \lambda L / D_T$ at first zero of $p_T(\Delta \theta)$

Sub-Rayleigh Imaging with Classical-State Light

- Illuminate a randomly-chosen θ_i using $D_T \gg D_R$
- Probability of N-photon coincide at $({m r}_k,t_k)$ averaged over probability density $p({m heta}_i)$ for ${m heta}_i$

 $P_N(\boldsymbol{r}_k, t_k) \approx$

Cips

rle

$$\int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta}_i \, p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i) \left(\frac{\eta P_T T_d}{\hbar \omega} \frac{A_d}{L^2} \mathcal{F}_R^2 \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i L) p_R(\boldsymbol{r}_k / f - \boldsymbol{\theta}_i) \right)^N \ll 1$$

Resolution exceeds the receiver's Rayleigh limit

Giovannetti et al., PRA <u>79</u>, 013827 (2009)

Sub-Rayleigh Imaging

Point-spread function comparison





CMOS SPAD Array

www.rle.mit.edu/qoptics

Sub-Rayleigh Imaging: Preliminary Results

Accumulate data from all measurement frames

Post-processing: *N*-th power of Left-panel data (*N*=10) Post-processing: (1) for each frame, set pixel value to 1 if exactly *N* counts; otherwise set to 0 (2) sum all post-processed frames (*N*=10)

Sub-Rayleigh Imaging Discussion

- Transmitter and receiver antenna patterns combine to determine image resolution of an active sensor
- N-photon coincidence counting does not improve resolution with floodlight illumination
- Random scanning with high-resolution beam yields resolution improvement with N-photon coincidence counting

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{\theta} | \sim |\Delta \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{Rayleigh}}| / \sqrt{N}$$

Efficiency issues exist

Gaussian States and Quantum Imaging

- Gaussian states:
 - include the principal sources of interest for imaging
 - phase-insensitive and phase-sensitive correlations contrasted
- Phase-conjugate optical coherence tomography
 - offers the advantages of Q-OCT and C-OCT
 - proof-of-principle experiment completed
- Ghost imaging
 - SNR analysis biphoton and pseudothermal cases completed
 - experiments on SLM and computational ghost imaging beginning
- "Nonlocal" dispersion cancellation
 - phase-sensitive coherence propagation is root cause of this effect
- Sub-Rayleigh imaging
 - classical-state imaging with random scanning can exceed the Rayleigh limit of the receiver
 - preliminary experimental results support the theory

www.rle.mit.edu/goptics