# Metal-dielectric composites as nonlinear optical materials

#### Nick N. Lepeshkin

Department of Physics and Astronomy San Francisco State University

1600 Holloway Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94132

### Giovanni Piredda Aaron Schweinsberg Robert W. Boyd

The Institute of Optics University of Rochester

Rochester, New York 14627





### **Composite Materials for Nonlinear Optics**

Want large nonlinear response for applications in photonics

Specific goal: Composite with  $\chi^{(3)}$  exceeding those of constituents Approaches:

- Nanocomposite materials
  Distance scale of mixing << λ</li>
  Enhanced NL response by local field effects
- Microcomposite materials (photonic crystals, etc.)
  Distance scale of mixing ≈ λ
  Constructive interference increase E and NL response

### Material Systems for Composite NLO Materials

All-dielectric composite materials

Minimum loss, but limited NL response

Metal-dielectric composite materials

Larger loss, but larger NL response

Note that  $\chi^{(3)}$  of gold  $\approx 10^6 \chi^{(3)}$  of silica glass!

Also, metal-dielectric composites possess surface plasmon resonances, which can further enhance the NL response.

Comment 1: surface plasmons play no role in the work I am presenting today

Comment 2: I have worked on many of these approaches, see www.optics.rochester.edu/~boyd for details

### Accessing the Optical Nonlinearity of Metals with Metal-Dielectric Photonic Crystal Structures

- Metals have very large optical nonlinearities but low transmission
- Low transmission is because metals are highly reflecting (not because they are absorbing!)
- Solution: construct metal-dielectric photonic crystal structure (linear properties studied earlier by Bloemer and Scalora)



Greater than 10% enhancement of NLO response is predicted!

R.S. Bennink, Y.K. Yoon, R.W. Boyd, and J. E. Sipe, Opt. Lett. 24, 1416, 1999.

### "Loss" mechanisms in copper



λ, nm

## Accessing the Optical Nonlinearity of Metals with Metal-Dielectric Photonic Crystal Structures



• Imaginary part of  $\chi^{(3)}$  produces a nonlinear phase shift! (And the real part of produces nonlinear transmission!)

### Linear Transmittance of Samples



## Mechanism of nonlinear response: "Fermi smearing"



 $\Delta T \rightarrow \Delta \varepsilon(E_{IB}) \rightarrow$  change in optical properties

Near the interband absorption edge, "Fermi smearing" is the dominant nonlinear process

 $\chi^{(3)}$  is largely imaginary

G. L. Eesley, Phys. Rev. B33, 2144 (1986) H. E. Elsayed-Ali et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 1212 (1987)

### Reflection/Transmission Z-Scan



Pulse energy  $\sim 1 \text{m J}$ I = 100 MW/cm<sup>2</sup>

$$\frac{\Delta R}{R}, \frac{\Delta T}{T} \rightarrow \Delta \varepsilon' + \Delta \varepsilon''$$

### Z-Scan Comparison of M/D PC and Bulk Sample



- We observe a large NL change in transmission
- But there is no measurable NL phase shift for either sample 🙁

Lepeshkin, Schweinsberg, Piredda, Bennink, Boyd, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 123902 (2004).

### Nonlinear Transmission and Reflecance



Nonlinear phase shift in PC (numerical simulations)

 $\Delta \varepsilon = 0.1i \rightarrow \Delta n$ Т Δn 0.25 0.04 0.20 0.03 Δn 0.15 .02 0.10 0.01 0.05 0∟ 550 0 600 650

λ, nm

### Conclusions

- Stable, artificial, solid-state NLO material
- Enhanced transmission (10X)
- Enhanced nonlinear response in transmission (12X) over an extended spectral range (550-650 nm)
- Nonlinear phase shift resulting from Δε"? Theory yes; experiment no. New design needed?